1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	ANTHONY J. BRODZKI, 2:11-CV-01953-PMP-GWF
8	Plaintiff, ) ORDER
9	vs.
10	CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
11	Defendant.
12	<u> </u>
13	On February 10, 2012, the Court received a Referral Notice (Doc. #9) from the
L4	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for the limited purpose for determining
15	whether Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should be continued or appealed, or whether the
16	appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith. For the reasons set forth in the Order and Findings
17	and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. #2) filed January 3,
18	2012 and Affirmed by the undersigned (Doc. #4) on January 30, 2012, this Court finds that
19	Plaintiff's action is entirely frivolous and fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
20	granted. Because the Court finds the facts alleged in Plaintiff's complaint to be wholly
21	incredible, the Court concludes that the instant appeal is frivolous and that Plaintiff's
22	request to proceed in forma pauperis should be denied.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	DATED: February 17, 2012.
25	$\bigcirc$ an $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$

PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge

26